



# **Geotechnical Investigation Report - Part of Lot 19, Concession 19, Township of Galway-Cavendish and Harvey, County of Peterborough, Ontario**

May 1, 2024

Prepared for:  
Jeffrey Homes

Cambium Reference: 17986-002

CAMBIUM INC.

866.217.7900

[cambium-inc.com](http://cambium-inc.com)



Table of Contents

1.0 Introduction.....1

2.0 Site Description .....2

3.0 Methodology .....3

3.1 Borehole Investigation ..... 3

3.2 Laboratory Testing ..... 4

4.0 Subsurface Conditions .....5

4.1 Topsoil..... 5

4.2 Clayey Silt ..... 5

4.3 Till..... 6

4.4 Bedrock ..... 7

4.5 Groundwater..... 7

5.0 Geotechnical Design Considerations.....9

5.1 Site Preparation..... 9

5.2 Frost Penetration ..... 9

5.3 Excavations ..... 9

5.4 Dewatering ..... 11

5.5 Backfill and Compaction ..... 11

5.6 Foundation Design ..... 12

5.6.1 Floor Slabs ..... 14

5.7 Subdrainage ..... 14

5.8 Lateral Earth Pressures..... 14

5.9 Buried Utilities ..... 15

5.10 Roadway Design Recommendations ..... 15

5.11 Seismic Site Classification..... 17

5.12 Design Review and Inspections ..... 17

6.0 Closing ..... 18

7.0 Standard Limitations..... 19



## List of Embedded Tables

Embedded Table 1	Particle Size Distribution Analysis – Clayey Silt .....	6
Embedded Table 2	Particle Size Distribution Analysis - Till.....	6
Embedded Table 3	Groundwater Depth and Elevation.....	7
Embedded Table 4	Recommended Minimum Pavement Structure .....	16

## List of Appended Figures

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Plan and Borehole Location Map

## List of Appendices

Appendix A	Borehole Logs
Appendix B	Soil Laboratory Testing Results



## 1.0 Introduction

Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was retained by Jeffrey Homes (Client) to complete a geotechnical investigation in support of the proposed residential subdivision at 168 County Road 49, in the County of Peterborough, Ontario (Site), illustrated in Figure 1. It is understood that the development is to include a total of 25 estate lots in Phase I, and the remainder of the lots in Phase II.

The purpose of the field work and testing was to obtain information on the general subsurface soil and groundwater conditions at the site by means of a limited number of boreholes and laboratory tests. Based on an interpretation of the data available for this site, this report provides engineering comments, recommendations, and parameters for the geotechnical design aspects of the project, including selected construction considerations which could influence design decisions. It should be noted that this report addresses only the geotechnical (physical) aspects of the subsurface conditions at the site.

This report provides the results of the geotechnical exploration and testing and should be read in conjunction with the "Standard Limitations" in Section 7.0 which forms an integral part of this document. The reader's attention is specifically drawn to this information, as it is essential for the proper use and interpretation of this report. The data, interpretations and recommendations contained in this report pertain to a specific project as described in the report and are not applicable to any other project or site location. If the project is modified in concept, location, or elevation, or if the project is not initiated within eighteen months of the date of the report, Cambium should be given an opportunity to confirm that the recommendations in this report are still valid.



## 2.0 Site Description

The Site covers an area of approximately 47 ha, which are predominantly undeveloped with mostly grasses and coniferous and deciduous trees, with the exception of a single dwelling and associated structures in the southwestern corner of the property bordering County Road 49. The property is legally known as Part of Lot 19, Concession 19, Township of Galway-Cavendish and Harvey, County of Peterborough. The proposed development includes the construction of 25 estate lots in the southern half of the parcel for Phase I, with the remainder in the northern half of the parcel for Phase II, and two potential stormwater management blocks, with accesses off of County Road 49 and Moon Line North. The Site is bordered by existing houses on Ellwood Crescent, to the south, mixed farmland and bush to the north, mixed bush and residential land to the east on Moon Line Road North, and County Road 49 to the west. The Site is generally flat to rolling, with a local high that runs along the north in the northwest area, and slight decline to the southeast.

At the time of writing this report, the actual finished floor elevations (FFE) were not provided. It is assumed that the proposed FFE will be approximately the same elevation as the existing grades on Site.

The geotechnical investigation was required to confirm the existing subsurface soil and groundwater conditions present at the Site and to prepare geotechnical design and construction recommendations for the proposed residential development. A borehole location plan is included as Figure 2 of this report. This report is currently based on the provided proposed concept plan created by D.G. Biddle & Associates Ltd., titled "DP-1" and dated April 5, 2024.

This report presents the methodology and findings of the geotechnical investigation at the Site and addresses requirements and constraints for the design and construction of the residential development.



## 3.0 Methodology

### 3.1 Borehole Investigation

A borehole investigation was conducted at the site on October 25<sup>th</sup> to October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2023 to assess subsurface conditions. 14 boreholes, identified as BH101-23 through BH114-23, were advanced in the relative locations shown on Figure 1. All boreholes were terminated at depths ranging from 2.44 m below existing grade (mbeg) to 4.98 mbeg, in native soil or presumed bedrock. Termination depths vary based on predetermined depths for individual boreholes and auger and SPT refusal in some locations.

Drilling and sampling were completed using a track-mounted drill rig operating under the supervision of a Cambium technician. The boreholes were advanced to the sampling depths by means of continuous flight solid stem augers with 50 mm O.D. split spoon samplers. Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N values were recorded for the sampled intervals as the number of blows required to drive a split spoon sampler 305 mm into the soil, using a 63.5 kg drop hammer falling 750 mm, as per ASTM D1586 procedures. The SPT N values are used in this report to assess consistency of cohesive soils and relative density of non-cohesive materials.

Four boreholes, BH101-23, BH108-23, BH109-23, and BH113-23 were completed as monitoring wells to assess groundwater conditions over time and complete groundwater testing for other associated studies.

The encountered soil units were logged in the field using visual and tactile methods, and samples were placed in labelled plastic bags for transport, future reference, possible laboratory testing, and storage. Open boreholes were checked for groundwater and general stability prior to backfilling. All boreholes not equipped as monitoring wells were backfilled and sealed in accordance with Ontario Regulation (O.Reg.) 903.

Borehole locations were surveyed in the field using a Sokkia RTK unit. Elevations were measured in relation to a geodetic COSINE monument (0011960U3306), located along the fence line on the west side of County Road 36, approximately 750 m northeast of the



intersection of County Road 49 and County Road 36. The monument has an elevation of 277.66 m above sea level (masl) based on the COSINE Station Report. The ground surface at the location of each borehole was measured relative to this elevation, with an accuracy of 0.01 m.

The prepared borehole logs are provided in Appendix A. Site soil and groundwater conditions and our geotechnical recommendations are presented in the following sections of this report.

### **3.2 Laboratory Testing**

Physical laboratory testing, including six (6) particle size distribution analyses (LS-702,705), was completed on selected soil samples to confirm textural classification and to assess geotechnical parameters. Moisture content testing (LS-701) was completed on all retrieved soil samples. Results are presented in Appendix B and are discussed in subsequent sections of this report.



## **4.0 Subsurface Conditions**

The subsurface conditions were very consistent across the entire site. Silt and sand topsoil was present at surface in all boreholes. Soft to stiff clayey silt soils were encountered below the topsoil in BH101-23 and BH102-23. Compact to very dense gravelly silty sand till was encountered below the clayey silt soil in BH101-23 and BH102-23 and below the topsoil in all other boreholes, extending to termination depth in all boreholes. The individual soil units for each street are described in detail below and shown on the borehole logs provided in Appendix A.

Assessments of organic matter content or other topsoil quality tests were beyond the scope of this study.

### **4.1 Topsoil**

Brown silt and sand topsoil was encountered in all boreholes, ranging from 75 mm to 250 mm in thickness, with an average thickness of approximately 150 mm.

### **4.2 Clayey Silt**

Brown clayey silt, with some sand and trace gravel, and occasional cobbles, was encountered immediately below the topsoil in boreholes BH101-23 and BH102-23. Trace amounts of organics were found within the clayey silt soil in BH102-23. The clayey silt material extended to depths 0.70 mbeg and 1.45 mbeg, respectively. The clayey silt soil was generally found to be drier than the plastic limit (DTPL) at the time of investigation. SPT blow counts within the clayey silt provide evidence of generally soft to stiff relative consistencies.

Laboratory particle size distribution analysis was completed on one (1) sample of the clayey silt material, taken from the boreholes and depths described in Embedded Table 1. The soil samples and analysis results are based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) scale, with full results provided in Appendix B.





**Embedded Table 1 Particle Size Distribution Analysis – Clayey Silt**

Borehole	Depth (mbeg)	Description	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% Moisture Content
BH102-23 SS2	0.8 – 1.4	Clayey Silt, some Sand	7	16	50	27	22.3

### 4.3 Till

Brown to light brown to grey till soil with a relatively even mixture of sand, gravel, and silt, with some cobbles and trace to some clay, was encountered immediately below the topsoil in all boreholes, except BH101-23 and BH102-23, where it was encountered immediately below the clayey silt soils. The till extended to termination depth in all boreholes. The till was generally found to be moist at the time of investigation, with BH101-23 exhibiting moist-to-wet to wet soils and BH104-23 exhibiting moist-to-wet soils beginning at 2.3 mbeg. SPT blow counts within the till provide evidence of generally compact to very dense relative densities throughout the entire soil column.

Laboratory particle size distribution analysis was completed on five (5) samples of the till material, taken from the boreholes and depths described in Embedded Table 2. The soil samples and analysis results are based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) scale, with full results provided in Appendix B.

**Embedded Table 2 Particle Size Distribution Analysis - Till**

Borehole	Depth (mbeg)	Description	% Gravel	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% Moisture Content
BH101-23 SS4	2.3 – 2.9	Silty Gravel and Sand	34	34	25	7	7.5
BH105-23 SS3	1.5 – 2.1	Sandy Silty Gravel some Clay	39	28	23	10	6.2
BH108-23 SS3	1.5 – 2.1	Gravelly Silty Sand	32	41	20	7	3.6
BH109-23 SS4	2.3 – 2.9	Gravelly Silty Sand	33	35	23	9	5.5
BH112-23 SS3	1.5 – 2.1	Sandy Silty Gravel some Clay	34	29	26	11	6.8



## 4.4 Bedrock

Presumed bedrock was encountered at depths of 3.12 mbeg, 2.44 mbeg, 3.35 mbeg, and 3.66 mbeg, in boreholes BH101-23, BH102-23, BH111-23, and BH114-23, respectively. All other boreholes were terminated in native soils at depths from 4.60 mbeg to 4.98 mbeg.

## 4.5 Groundwater

All boreholes except BH101-23, BH104-23, and BH113-23, did not encounter any sign of groundwater, including no water on completion of drilling, no evidence of wet or saturated soils, and no evidence of grey soils within the soil column.

Wet soils were first encountered, in BH101-23, at a depth of 2.29 mbeg, all other boreholes were dry upon completion. Water levels measured upon completion of drilling were only found in BH101-23, at a depth of 2.44 mbeg. No sloughing occurred during the investigation.

Groundwater levels were measured in two of the four monitoring wells, BH101-23 and BH113-23, on November 10, 2023, at depths of 0.44 mbeg and 3.94 mbeg, respectively. The monitoring wells at BH108-23 and BH109-23 were found to be dry on November 10, 2023.

Grey soils indicating the long-term presence of the water table were only encountered in boreholes BH101-23 and BH104-23, at depths of 2.20 mbeg and 4.57 mbeg, respectively.

A summary of the first encounter of groundwater in soils, measured water levels as well as water level on completion, and the depth to grey soils is provided in Embedded Table 3.

**Embedded Table 3 Groundwater Depth and Elevation**

Location	Surface Elevation (masl)	First Encounter of Groundwater (mbeg) / Elev (masl)	Water Level on Completion (mbeg) / Elev (masl)	Grey Soils Below Depth (mbeg) / Elev (masl)	Measured Water Level Nov. 10, 2023 (mbeg) / Elev (masl)
BH101-23	302.80	2.29 / 300.51	2.44 / 300.36	2.20 / 300.60	0.44 / 302.36
BH104-23	302.35	Dry	Dry	4.57 / 297.78	-
BH108-23	297.97	Dry	Dry	-	Dry
BH109-23	300.40	Dry	Dry	-	Dry
BH113-23	311.71	Dry	Dry	-	3.94 / 307.77

*Note: All boreholes not shown in Embedded Table 3 did not encounter any sign of groundwater, including grey soils.*



The measured water level in borehole BH101-23 could be a result of localized perched groundwater due to coarser seams or lenses within the finer cohesive clayey silt material or seasonal water from the surface trapped within the clayey silt. It is noted that groundwater levels vary seasonally and in response to climatic activity.



## **5.0 Geotechnical Design Considerations**

The following recommendations are based on borehole information and are intended to assist designers. Recommendations should not be construed as providing instructions to contractors, who should form their own opinions about site conditions. It is possible that subsurface conditions beyond the borehole locations may vary from those observed. If significant variations are found before or during construction, Cambium should be contacted so that we can reassess our findings, if necessary.

### **5.1 Site Preparation**

Any and all vegetation and organic soils, including topsoil, should be removed from beneath the proposed homes, roadways and utilities. The exposed subgrade should be proof-rolled and inspected by qualified geotechnical engineering personnel prior to the placement of any fill or bedding material. Any loose/soft soils identified at the time of proof-rolling that are unable to be uniformly compacted should be sub-excavated and removed. The excavations created through the removal of these materials should be backfilled with approved engineered fill consistent with the recommendations provided below.

### **5.2 Frost Penetration**

Based on climate data and design charts, the frost penetration depth below the pavement at the site is estimated at 1.5 m.

It is assumed that the pavement structure thickness will be less than 1.5 m, so grading and drainage are important for good pavement performance and life expectancy.

Any services/utilities should be located below this depth or be appropriately insulated.

### **5.3 Excavations**

All excavations must be carried out in accordance with the latest edition of the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA). The generally compact to very dense native till may be classified as Type 2 soils above the groundwater table in accordance with OHSA. Type 2 soils may be excavated with unsupported side slopes no steeper than 1H:1V within 1.2 m of the



base of the excavation. Below the groundwater table the dense and very stiff soils should be considered Type 3 soils and may be excavated with side slopes no steeper than 1H: 1V. The native clayey silt soils may be classified as Type 3 soils above the groundwater table in accordance with OHSA. Type 3 soils may be excavated with unsupported side slopes no steeper than 1H:1V. Test excavations should be carried out at the time of construction to assess the soil integrity and water levels to determine any shoring requirements.

Where the side slopes consist of more than one soil type, the soil shall be classified as the type with the highest number among the soils present. Please note that the soil type classifications indicated above are provisional and are subject to change based on field observations of the actual conditions at the time of exposure. However, depending upon the construction procedures adopted by the contractor, actual groundwater seepage conditions, the success of the contractor's groundwater control methods and weather conditions at the time of construction, some flattening and/or blanketing of the slopes may be required. Care should be taken to direct surface runoff away from the open excavations. Stockpiles of excavated materials should be kept at least at the same distance as the excavation depth from the top edge of the excavation to prevent slope instability. Care should also be taken to avoid overloading of any existing underground services/structures by stockpiles.

Excavation side slopes should be protected from exposure to precipitation and associated ground surface runoff and should be inspected regularly for signs of instability. If localized instability is noted during excavation or if wet conditions are encountered, the side slopes should be flattened as required to maintain safe working conditions or the excavation sidewalls must be fully supported (shored).

Larger size particles, such as cobbles and boulders may be encountered within the subgrade material. The size and distribution of such obstructions cannot be predicted during a limited investigation, however, should be anticipated.

If bedrock needs to be removed, excavations would likely require a hoe ram and/or blasting depending on the degree of fracturing of the bedrock.



Excavations made into the bedrock can be cut vertically, provided that the rock faces are scaled and maintained to preclude the possibility of spalling. Where this is not possible, in areas where workers and/or equipment must enter the excavation, a protective mesh can be draped over the rock face. Alternatively, a trench box can be used in narrow excavation.

## **5.4 Dewatering**

Assuming that construction of structures is to occur in a dry season, and footings are to be placed above the water table, significant groundwater seepage is not anticipated within the excavation depths for the homes. Any seepage within the excavation depths should be controllable with filtered sumps and pumps and a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) or registry in the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) for the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) will not be required.

Excavations for services may extend below the groundwater table. requirements under these conditions are discussed within the associated hydrogeological report.

Consideration can be given to digging test excavations prior to construction to observe how quickly the groundwater seeps in the excavations. It would be recommended for the excavations to be completed and backfilled quickly, and for the work to be completed in a traditionally drier season.

It should be noted that the groundwater table is influenced by seasonal fluctuations and major precipitation events.

## **5.5 Backfill and Compaction**

Excavated topsoil from the Site is not appropriate for use as fill below grading, roadways and parking areas. Excavated native till and imported fill, not containing organics or any other deleterious material, may be appropriate for use as engineered fill, provided that the actual or adjusted moisture content at the time of construction is within a range that permits compaction to required densities. The clayey silt or other cohesive soils are too fine-grained to provide proper drainage and should not be used as engineered fill. Encountered cobbles and boulders should be stockpiled separately and discarded or used for other construction/landscaping



purposes. Some moisture content adjustments may be required depending upon seasonal conditions. Geotechnical inspections and testing of engineered fill are required to confirm acceptable quality.

Any engineered fill below foundations should be placed in lifts appropriate to the type of compaction equipment used on site and be compacted to a minimum of 100% of standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD), as confirmed by nuclear densometer testing. If native soils from the site are not used as engineered fill, imported material for engineered fill should consist of clean, non-organic soils, free of chemical contamination or deleterious material. The moisture content of the engineered fill will need to be close enough to optimum at the time of placement to allow for adequate compaction. Consideration could be given to using a material meeting the specifications of OPSS 1010 Granular B. If conditions are wet at the time of construction, compaction of granular fill may not be possible, and 19 mm diameter crushed clear stone wrapped in a geotextile filter fabric (Terrafix 270R or equivalent) should be used in place of engineered fill. Staged or stepped excavation and placement of the geotextile and clear stone may help limit the requirement for a PTTW or registry in the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry for the MOECP.

Foundation wall and any buried utility backfill material should consist of free-draining imported granular material. Most of the native site soils are too fine-grained to provide proper drainage, and as such this should be accomplished using well graded Granular B Type 1 material complying with OPSS 1010. The fill should be placed in maximum 200 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98 percent of Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD).

The backfill material, if any, in the upper 300 mm below the pavement subgrade elevation should be compacted to 100 percent of SPMDD in all areas.

## 5.6 Foundation Design

Assuming the stie is prepared as outlined above, the native sub-soils are competent to support the proposed structures with basements on conventional strip and spread footings. It is assumed exterior footings will be placed at a minimum of 1.5 m below final adjacent grade for frost protection and placed on undisturbed native glacial till soils at depth or approved



engineered fill. Footings situated at a minimum depth of 1.5 m below the final grade, founded on undisturbed dense to very dense native till soils can be designed for a bearing capacity of 150 kPa at SLS and 225 kPa at ULS. At depths of 2.5 m and below, footings can be designed for a bearing capacity of 150 kPa at SLS and 225 kPa at ULS.

These bearing resistances are for strip footings 0.5 m to 1.0 m wide and spread footings varying from 1 m x 1 m to 2 m x 2 m in size. In areas where the grade will be raised, in accordance with Section 5.5, the existing material will need to be removed to depths up to 0.5 m, and the non-organic portions recompacted prior to placing fill. Specification regarding adequate subgrade depth for area of grade raises may be provided upon review of the site grading plan. The subgrade soils should be leveled, proof-rolled and inspected by a geotechnical engineer prior to placement of the fill material. Any soft loose areas identified would need to be subexcavated and replaced with compacted engineered fill as discussed in Section 5.5.

In addition, the structures may be founded on approved engineered fill soils overlying native soils subject to approval by Cambium. Structures founded on approved, compacted engineered fill soils may be designed for an allowable bearing capacity of 100 kPa SLS and 150 kPa ULS when the fill is placed on loose to compact native soils, and 150 kPa SLS and 225 kPa ULS when the fill is placed on dense to very dense native soils. A minimum thickness of 1.2 m of engineered fill is recommended when it is placed on the loose to compact soils, if any.

Water levels in monitoring wells should be assessed in the spring, under wet conditions, to better understand groundwater at the site. Test excavations may be carried out in the wet season to confirm ground water conditions in the near surface soils.

Settlement potential at the noted SLS loadings is less than 25 mm and differential settlement should be less than 10 mm.

The quality of the subgrade shall be inspected by Cambium during construction, prior to constructing the footings and placing engineered fill, to confirm bearing capacity estimates.





### 5.6.1 Floor Slabs

Inorganic native till soils or engineered fill are considered competent to support floor slab loads. Subgrade soils should be leveled, proof-rolled and inspected by a geotechnical engineer. Any soft loose areas identified would need to be subexcavated and replaced with compacted engineered fill as discussed in Section 5.5. Given the anticipated subgrade conditions, to create a stable working surface and to distribute loadings, shallow floor slabs should be constructed on a minimum of 200 mm of OPSS Granular A, compacted as outlined in Section 5.5, and basement slabs should be constructed on a minimum of 300 mm Granular A or clear stone completely wrapped in geotextile. Provided the subgrade, under-floor fill and granular base are prepared in accordance with the above recommendations, the preliminary estimate of the Modulus of Subgrade Reaction for the floor slab design is 25 MPa/m.

### 5.7 Subdrainage

The average groundwater table at the Site is designed to be below the proposed footing elevations, however assuming the proposed structures are to have basements, perimeter subdrains are recommended, given that groundwater conditions on the site may vary seasonally, with the potential for higher groundwater at times. Geotextile wrapped perforated pipe subdrains set in a trench of clear stone and connected to a sump or other appropriate frost-free outlets are recommended around the perimeter footings. If clear stone is placed below the floor slab the clear stone should be hydraulically connected to the exterior subdrains; the inverts of which should be a minimum of 150 mm below the underside of the basement slab.

### 5.8 Lateral Earth Pressures

Lateral earth pressure coefficients (K) for foundation and retaining wall design are provided below. It is assumed that potential lateral loads will result from cohesionless, frictional materials, such as well-drained granular backfill.

Ko (at rest)	0.42
Ka (active)	0.27



Kp (passive) 3.7

The following formula may be used to calculate active lateral thrust (Pa) on yielding retaining structures;

$$Pa = (H/2)(Ka)(\gamma H + 2q)$$

where,

H = Height of retaining structure (m)

$\gamma$  = unit weight of retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)

q = surcharge (kPa)

A unit weight of 22 kN/m<sup>3</sup> should be assumed for compacted granular backfill loadings.

## 5.9 Buried Utilities

Trench excavations should generally consider Type 3 soil conditions above the groundwater table which can be excavated with unsupported side slopes no steeper than 1H:1V. Bedding and cover material for any service should consist of OPSS 1010-3 Granular A or B Type II, placed in accordance with pertinent Ontario Provincial Standard Drawings (OPSD 802.013). The bedding and cover material shall be placed in maximum 200 mm thick lifts and should be compacted at least 98 percent of SPMDD. The cover material shall be a minimum of 300 mm over the top of pipe and compacted to 95 percent of SPMDD, taking care not to damage the utility pipes during construction.

## 5.10 Roadway Design Recommendations

The performance of the pavement is dependent upon proper subgrade preparation. All topsoil and organic materials should be removed down to native sand and silt material and backfilled with approved engineered fill or native material, compacted to 98 percent SPMDD. The subgrade should be proof rolled and inspected by a Geotechnical Engineer. Any areas where rutting or appreciable deflection is noted should be subexcavated and replaced with suitable fill. The fill should be compacted to at least 98% SPMDD.



To completely protect against damage due to frost heaving, excavations would have to be made to the maximum frost penetration depth and backfilled with free-draining granular material. In order to reduce costs an alternative pavement structure design is proposed. It should be noted that while the designs presented will provide adequate support for the intended use, some minor frost heaving could persist, resulting in minor degradation and minimal annual maintenance.

The recommended pavement structure design for the proposed internal roads has been developed based on a subgrade with moderate amounts frost susceptible fines. The pavement structure assumes all roads will be low volume residential roadways. The recommended minimum pavement structure is provided in Embedded Table 4.

**Embedded Table 4      Recommended Minimum Pavement Structure**

Pavement Layer	Residential Roads (Local)
Surface Course Asphalt	40 mm HL4
Binder Course Asphalt	50 mm HL8
Granular Base	150 mm OPSS 1010 Granular A
Granular Subbase	350 mm OPSS 1010 Granular B

Material and thickness substitutions must be approved by the Design Engineer.

The thickness of the subbase layer could be increased at the discretion of the Engineer, to accommodate site conditions at the time of construction, including soft or weak subgrade soil replacement.

Compaction of the subgrade should be verified by the Engineer prior to placing the granular fill. Granular layers should be placed in 200 mm maximum loose lifts and compacted to at least 98 percent of SPMDD (ASTM D698) standard. The granular materials specified should conform to OPSS standards, as confirmed by appropriate materials testing.

The final asphalt surface should be sloped at a minimum of 2% to shed runoff. Any abutting pavements should be saw cut to provide clean vertical joints with new pavement areas.



## 5.11 Seismic Site Classification

For the purpose of seismic design, geotechnical information shall be used to determine the “Site Class”. The average properties in the top 30 m (below the lowest founding level) are to be used. The site classification recommendation would be based on the available information as well as our interpretation of conditions below the boreholes based on our knowledge of the soil conditions in the area. In accordance with Table 4.1.8.4.A of the OBC (2012), it is recommended that Site Class “C” (very dense soil and soft rock) be applied for structural at the Site. It may be possible, though not assured, to upgrade the seismic site class for the proposed development through the implementation of Shear Wave Velocity testing.

## 5.12 Design Review and Inspections

Test excavations should be advanced throughout the Site, prior to construction, to compare findings to those observed in this report. Should soil or groundwater conditions change drastically from this report, a qualified geotechnical engineer should be consulted.

Testing and inspections should be carried out during construction operations to examine and approve subgrade conditions, placement and compaction of fill materials, and dewatering requirements. Concrete used during construction should also be tested for slump, air entrainment and compressive strength.

We should be contacted to review and approve design drawings, prior to tendering or commencing construction, to ensure that all pertinent geotechnical-related factors have been addressed. It is important that onsite geotechnical supervision be provided at this site for excavation and backfill procedures, deleterious soil removal, subgrade inspections and compaction and concrete testing.





## 6.0 Closing


Please note that this work program and report are governed by the attached Qualifications and Limitations. If you have questions or comments regarding this document, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (705) 742-7900.

Respectfully submitted,

### Cambium Inc.

DocuSigned by:  
  
0B68D45279A94B7...  
Stuart Baird, M.Eng., P.Eng.  
Director of Geotechnical and Construction  
Monitoring

DocuSigned by:  
  
0B3E724EADDB4BB...  
Juan Monroy, P.Eng.  
Project Coordinator

DocuSigned by:  
  
7FDE31DDD18E4AA...  
Josh Riseling, EIT, BASc  
Project Coordinator

SEB/JDM/jfr

\\cambiumincstorage.file.core.windows.net\projects\17900 to 17999\17986-002 Jeffrey Homes - GEO & HydroG - Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development\Deliverables\REPORT - GEO\Final\2024-05-01 RPT - GEO - Bobcaygeon Residential Development.docx



## 7.0 Standard Limitations

### Limited Warranty

In performing work on behalf of a client, Cambium relies on its client to provide instructions on the scope of its retainer, and, on that basis, Cambium determines the precise nature of the work to be performed. Cambium undertakes all work in accordance with applicable accepted industry practices and standards. Unless required under local laws, other than as expressly stated herein, no other warranties or conditions, either expressed or implied, are made regarding the services, work or reports provided.

### Reliance on Materials and Information

The findings and results presented in reports prepared by Cambium are based on the materials and information provided by the client to Cambium and on the facts, conditions and circumstances encountered by Cambium during the performance of the work requested by the client. In formulating its findings and results into a report, Cambium assumes that the information and materials provided by the client or obtained by Cambium from the client or otherwise are factual, accurate and represent a true depiction of the circumstances that exist. Cambium relies on its client to inform Cambium if there are changes to any such information and materials. Cambium does not review, analyze or attempt to verify the accuracy or completeness of the information or materials provided, or circumstances encountered, other than in accordance with applicable accepted industry practice. Cambium will not be responsible for matters arising from incomplete, incorrect or misleading information or from facts or circumstances that are not fully disclosed to or that are concealed from Cambium during the provision of services, work or reports.

Facts, conditions, information and circumstances may vary with time and locations and Cambium's work is based on a review of such matters as they existed at the particular time and location indicated in its reports. No assurance is made by Cambium that the facts, conditions, information, circumstances or any underlying assumptions made by Cambium in connection with the work performed will not change after the work is completed and a report is submitted. If any such changes occur or additional information is obtained, Cambium should be advised and requested to consider if the changes or additional information affect its findings or results.

When preparing reports, Cambium considers applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines and policies to the extent they are within its knowledge, but Cambium is not qualified to advise with respect to legal matters. The presentation of information regarding applicable legislation, regulations, governmental guidelines and policies is for information only and is not intended to and should not be interpreted as constituting a legal opinion concerning the work completed or conditions outlined in a report. All legal matters should be reviewed and considered by an appropriately qualified legal practitioner.

### Site Assessments

A site assessment is created using data and information collected during the investigation of a site and based on conditions encountered at the time and particular locations at which fieldwork is conducted. The information, sample results and data collected represent the conditions only at the specific times at which and at those specific locations from which the information, samples and data were obtained and the information, sample results and data may vary at other locations and times. To the extent that Cambium's work or report considers any locations or times other than those from which information, sample results and data was specifically received, the work or report is based on a reasonable extrapolation from such information, sample results and data but the actual conditions encountered may vary from those extrapolations.

Only conditions at the site and locations chosen for study by the client are evaluated; no adjacent or other properties are evaluated unless specifically requested by the client. Any physical or other aspects of the site chosen for study by the client, or any other matter not specifically addressed in a report prepared by Cambium, are beyond the scope of the work performed by Cambium and such matters have not been investigated or addressed.

### Reliance

Cambium's services, work and reports may be relied on by the client and its corporate directors and officers, employees, and professional advisors. Cambium is not responsible for the use of its work or reports by any other party, or for the reliance on, or for any decision which is made by any party using the services or work performed by or a report prepared by Cambium without Cambium's express written consent. Any party that relies on services or work performed by Cambium or a report prepared by Cambium without Cambium's express written consent, does so at its own risk. No report of Cambium may be disclosed or referred to in any public document without Cambium's express prior written consent. Cambium specifically disclaims any liability or responsibility to any such party for any loss, damage, expense, fine, penalty or other such thing which may arise or result from the use of any information, recommendation or other matter arising from the services, work or reports provided by Cambium.

### Limitation of Liability

Potential liability to the client arising out of the report is limited to the amount of Cambium's professional liability insurance coverage. Cambium shall only be liable for direct damages to the extent caused by Cambium's negligence and/or breach of contract. Cambium shall not be liable for consequential damages.

### Personal Liability

The client expressly agrees that Cambium employees shall have no personal liability to the client with respect to a claim, whether in contract, tort and/or other cause of action in law. Furthermore, the client agrees that it will bring no proceedings nor take any action in any court of law against Cambium employees in their personal capacity.




---

**Appended Figures**

---



C:\GIS\MapDocs\17900-17999\17986-002\_Jeffery Homes - GEO & HydroG - Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development\2023-11-15\_Geotechnical Investigation.aprx



**GEOTECHNICAL  
INVESTIGATION**  
JEFFERY HOMES  
Part of Lot 19, Concession 19  
County of Peterborough, Ontario

**LEGEND**

- Highway
- Major Road
- Minor Road
- Railway
- Watercourse
- Water Area
- First Nations Reserve
- Provincial Park
- Wooded Area
- Built Up Area

**Notes:**  
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.  
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.  
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street  
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5  
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907  
www.cambium-inc.com

**SITE LOCATION PLAN**

Project No.: 17986-002		Date: April 2024 Rev.:	
Scale: 1:100,000		Projection: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 17N	
Created by: MAT	Checked by: JM	Figure:	1





**GEOTECHNICAL  
INVESTIGATION**  
JEFFERY HOMES  
Part of Lot 19, Concession 19  
County of Peterborough, Ontario

**LEGEND**

- Borehole
- Monitoring Well
- Site (approximate)

**Notes:**  
- Site plan overlay was created by D.G. Biddle and Associates Limited, titled: Proposed Lot Concept, project no. 122169, drawing no. CP-1, dated January 2024.  
- This document contains information licensed under the Open Government License - Ontario.  
- Distances on this plan are in metres and can be converted to feet by dividing by 0.3048.  
- Cambium Inc. makes every effort to ensure this map is free from errors but cannot be held responsible for any damages due to error or omissions. This map should not be used for navigation or legal purposes. It is intended for general reference use only.



194 Sophia Street  
Peterborough, Ontario, K9H 1E5  
Tel: (705) 742.7900 Fax: (705) 742.7907  
www.cambium-inc.com

**BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN**

Project No.:	17986-002	Date:	April 2024
Scale:	1:7,500	Rev.:	
Created by:	MAT	Checked by:	JM
		Figure:	2



Geotechnical Investigation Report - Part of Lot 19, Concession 19, Township of Galway-Cavendish and Harvey, County of  
Peterborough, Ontario  
Jeffrey Homes  
Cambium Reference: 17986-002  
May 1, 2024

---

---

**Appendix A**  
**Borehole Logs**

---



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH101-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 25, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694675.16 E, 4937141.01 N

**Elevation:** 302.80 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT				Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
-1																
303	0		TOPSOIL: 75mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS										Cap	Small cobble throughout
			CLAYEY SILT: Brown, clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel, drier than plastic limit, soft	1B	SS	25	2								Pipe Bentonite Plug	Water level measured at 0.44 mbgs on Nov. 10, 2023
302	1		TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist to wet, compact	2	SS	50	15									
301	2			3	SS	42	15									
300	3		TILL: Grey, silty gravel and sand, trace clay, wet, dense	4	SS	70	43									
			-becomes very dense	5	SS	100	50/75									
			Borehole terminated at 3.12 mbgs after auger refusal on presumed bedrock or large boulders													
299	4															
298	5															

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH102-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 25, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694793.84 E, 4937195.17 N

**Elevation:** 305.76 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
306	0		TOPSOIL: 75mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	12	9								Small cobble throughout
			CLAYEY SILT: Brown, clayey silt, some sand, trace gravel, trace organics, drier than plastic limit, stiff												
305	1		-becomes firm	2	SS	50	7								SS2 GSA: 7% gravel 16% sand 50% silt 27% clay
			TILL: Light brown, silty gravel and sand, moist, compact	3	SS	42	13								
304	2		-becomes very dense	4	SS	33	50/ 75								Borehole open and dry upon completion
			Borehole terminated at 2.44 mbgs after auger refusal on presumed bedrock or large boulders												
303	3														
302	4														
301	5														

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH103-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 25, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694882.12 E, 4937290.56 N

**Elevation:** 306.27 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT				Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
307	-1															
	0		TOPSOIL: 150mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	24	8									
306			TILL: Light brown, silty gravel and sand, moist, very dense													
	1			2	SS	58	54									Small cobble throughout
305																Borehole open and dry upon completion
	2			3	SS	75	56									
304																
	3			4	SS	67	52									
303																
	4			5	SS	92	68									
302																
	5			6	SS	100	50/ 75									
301			Borehole terminated at 4.65 mbgs after SPT refusal in silty gravel and sand till													

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



Barrie  
Oshawa  
Kingston  
T: 866-217-7900  
www.cambium-inc.com

# Log of Borehole:

BH104-23

Page 1 of 1

**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 25, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695029.52 E, 4937418.20 N

**Elevation:** 302.35 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks	
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
303	-1															
	0		TOPSOIL: 150mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	25	6									
302			TILL: Light brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, compact													
	-1			2	SS	75	12									Small cobble throughout
301																Borehole open and dry upon completion
	-2			3	SS	25	11									
300			-becomes moist to wet	4	SS	75	19									
	-3			5	SS	0	50/ 150									
299			-becomes very dense													
	-4															
298			-becomes grey	6	SS	100	50/ 50									
	-5		Borehole terminated at 4.62 mbgs after SPT refusal in sandy silty gravel till													
297																

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling





**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH105-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 25, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695220.03 E, 4937348.23 N

**Elevation:** 300.32 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks	
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
301	-1															
300	0		TOPSOIL: 200mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS											
			TILL: Brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, loose	1B	SS	58	8									
	-1		-becomes dense	2	SS	25	37									Small cobble throughout
299																
	-2			3	SS	75	31									SS3 GSA: 39% gravel 28% sand 23% silt 10% clay
298			-becomes very dense	4	SS	100	50/ 125									Borehole open and dry upon completion
	-3			5	SS	100	50/ 150									
297																
	-4															
296				6	SS	25	50/ 100									
	-5		Borehole terminated at 4.67 mbgs after SPT refusal in sandy silty gravel till													
295																

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH106-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 26, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695333.16 E, 4937462.64 N

**Elevation:** 298.73 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
299	0		TOPSOIL: 200mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	33	7								
298	1		TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist, dense	2	SS	42	49								Small cobble throughout
297	2			3	SS	83	41								Borehole open and dry upon completion
296	3		-becomes very dense	4	SS	67	59								
295	4			5	SS	33	50/ 75								
294	5		Borehole terminated at 4.82 mbgs after SPT refusal in gravelly silty sand till	6	SS	80	50/ 100								

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling





**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH107-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 26, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695513.31 E, 4937433.93 N

**Elevation:** 296.44 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
297															
0			TOPSOIL: 250mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	42	6								
296			TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist, very dense												
1				2	SS	96	83								
295				3	SS	50	50/ 100								
2															
294				4	SS	100	80								
3															
293				5	SS	55	50/ 125								
4															
292				6	SS	67	50/ 75								
5			Borehole terminated at 4.80 mbgs after SPT refusal in gravelly silty sand till												
291															

Small cobble throughout

Borehole open and dry upon completion

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH108-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 26, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695459.31 E, 4937561.77 N

**Elevation:** 297.97 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT				Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
299	-1															
298	0		TOPSOIL: 150mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS											
			TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist, loose	1B	SS	42	9									
297	1		-becomes dense	2	SS	67	49									Small cobble throughout
			-becomes very dense	3	SS	58	69									SS3 GSA: 32% gravel 41% sand 20% silt 7% clay
296	2			4	SS	0	50/ 75									Borehole open and dry upon completion
295	3			5	SS	50	50/ 50									Monitoring well was found dry on Nov. 10, 2023
294	4															
				6	SS	67	50/ 150									
293	5		Borehole terminated at 4.72 mbgs after SPT refusal in gravelly silty sand till													

Cap

Pipe

Bentonite Plug

Sand Pack

PVC Screen

Cap

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH109-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 26, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695333.74 E, 4937710.50 N

**Elevation:** 300.40 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
-1															
301															
0															
300			TOPSOIL: 200mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS										
			TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist, compact	1B	SS	50	10								
1			-becomes dense	2	SS	33	42								
299															
			-becomes very dense	3	SS	75	53								
2															
298				4	SS	100	77								
3				5	SS	67	50/ 150								
297															
4															
296				6	SS	100	50/ 125								
5			Borehole terminated at 4.85 mbgs after SPT refusal in gravelly silty sand till												
295															

Cap

Pipe

 Bentonite  
Plug

Sand Pack

 PVC  
Screen

Cap

 Small cobble  
throughout

 Borehole open and  
dry upon completion

 SS4 GSA:  
33% gravel  
35% sand  
23% silt  
9% clay

 Monitoring well was  
found dry on Nov.  
10, 2023

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH110-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 26, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 695259.48 E, 4937570.87 N

**Elevation:** 300.35 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
301	-1														
	0		TOPSOIL: 75mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS										
300			TILL: Light brown, gravelly silty sand, trace clay, moist, loose	1B	SS	46	6								
	-1		-becomes dense	2	SS	33	37								Small cobble throughout
299				3	SS	83	35								Borehole open and dry upon completion
	-2			4	SS	67	50/75								
298			-becomes very dense	5	SS	100	50/100								
297				6	SS	88	50/250								
296															
295	5		Borehole terminated at 4.98 mbgs after SPT refusal in gravelly silty sand till												

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH111-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 27, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694825.61 E, 4937424.12 N

**Elevation:** 305.05 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
306	-1														
305	0		TOPSOIL: 200mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	38	8								
			TILL: Light brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, loose												
304	-1		-becomes compact	2	SS	33	18								
				3	SS	12	24								
303	-2														
				4	SS	55	26								
302	-3		-becomes very dense	5	SS	0	50/50								
301	-4		Borehole terminated at 3.35 mbgs after auger refusal on presumed bedrock or large boulder												
300	-5														

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH112-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 27, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694713.62 E, 4937533.65 N

**Elevation:** 314.53 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks	
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
-1																
315																
0			TOPSOIL: 150mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS											
314			TILL: Light brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, compact	1B	SS	75	11									
																Small cobble throughout
-1			-becomes dense	2	SS	67	49									
313																
				3	SS	83	41									SS3 GSA: 34% gravel 29% sand 26% silt 11% clay
-2																
312			-becomes very dense	4	SS	0	50/ 225									Borehole open and dry upon completion
-3				5	SS	100	50/ 75									
311																
-4																
310				6	SS	67	50/ 75									
-5			Borehole terminated at 4.80 mbgs after SPT refusal in sandy silty gravel till													

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH113-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark



**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 27, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694578.13 E, 4937436.09 N

**Elevation:** 311.71 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE											
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT			Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40	
312	0		TOPSOIL: 150mm thick layer of topsoil	1A	SS										
			TILL: Light brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, loose	1B	SS	33	7								
311	1		-becomes compact	2	SS	36	23								
310	2			3	SS	50	22								
309	3		-becomes very dense	4	SS	33	50/ 225								
				5	SS	0	50/ 100								
308	4														
307	5		Borehole terminated at 4.60 mbgs after SPT refusal in sandy silty gravel till	6	SS	100	50/ 25								

Cap

Pipe

Bentonite Plug

Sand Pack

PVC Screen

Cap

Small cobble throughout

Borehole open and dry upon completion

Water level measured at 3.94 mbgs on Nov. 10, 2023

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling



**Barrie**  
**Oshawa**  
**Kingston**  
**T: 866-217-7900**  
**www.cambium-inc.com**

# Log of Borehole:

**BH114-23**
**Page 1 of 1**
**Client:** Jeffrey Homes

**Project Name:** GEO - 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**Project No.:** 17986-002

**Contractor:** Landshark

**Method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Date Completed:** October 27, 2023

**Location:** 168 County Road 49, Bobcaygeon

**UTM:** 17T 694694.37 E, 4937341.31 N

**Elevation:** 307.63 masl

SUBSURFACE PROFILE				SAMPLE												
Elevation (m)	Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N) / DCPT	% Moisture			SPT (N) / DCPT				Well Installation	Remarks
								25	50	75	10	20	30	40		
308																
0			TOPSOIL: 200mm thick layer of topsoil	1	SS	33	4									
307			TILL: Light brown, sandy silty gravel, some clay, moist, loose													Small cobble throughout
1			-becomes compact	2	SS	50	21									Borehole open and dry upon completion
306			-becomes dense	3	SS	21	32									
2			-becomes very dense	4	SS	20	50/ 125									
305				5	SS	0	50/ 50									
304																
4			Borehole terminated at 3.66 mbgs after auger refusal on presumed bedrock or large boulder													
303																
5																

**Logged By:** J. Riseling

**Input By:** J. Riseling





---

**Appendix B**  
**Soil Laboratory Testing Results**

---




# Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 101-23 SS 4      **Depth:** 2.3 m to 2.9 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1845

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



## MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 101-23	SS 4	2.3 m to 2.9 m	34	34	25	7	7.5
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Silty Gravel and Sand trace Clay		SM	3.2500	0.0610	0.0047	691.49	0.24

Additional information available upon request

Issued By:   
 (Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: November 14, 2023

Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo




## Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 102-23 SS 2      **Depth:** 0.8 m to 1.4 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1846

### UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



### MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 102-23	SS 2	0.8 m to 1.4 m	7	16	50	27	22.3
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Clayey Silt some Sand trace Gravel		ML	0.0300	0.0032	-	-	-

Additional information available upon request

Issued By:   
 (Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: November 14, 2023

**Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)**  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo




# Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 105-23 SS 3      **Depth:** 1.5 m to 2.1 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1847

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



## MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 105-23	SS 3	1.5 m to 2.1 m	39	28	23	10	6.2
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Sandy Silty Gravel some Clay		SM	4.200	0.050	0.002	2100.00	0.30

Additional information available upon request

Issued By: \_\_\_\_\_

*John Baird*

(Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

November 14, 2023

**Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)**  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo




# Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 108-23 SS 3      **Depth:** 1.5 m to 2.1 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1848

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



## MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 108-23	SS 3	1.5 m to 2.1 m	32	41	20	7	3.6
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Gravelly Silty Sand trace Clay		SM	2.800	0.120	0.004	700.00	1.29

Additional information available upon request

Issued By: \_\_\_\_\_

(Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

November 14, 2023

**Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)**  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo




## Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 109-23 SS 4      **Depth:** 2.3 m to 2.9 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1849

### UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



### MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 109-23	SS 4	2.3 m to 2.9 m	33	35	23	9	5.5
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Gravelly Silty Sand trace Clay		SM	2.6000	0.0620	0.0031	838.71	0.48

Additional information available upon request

Issued By: \_\_\_\_\_

(Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: \_\_\_\_\_

November 14, 2023

**Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)**  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo




# Grain Size Distribution Chart

**Project Number:** 17986-002      **Client:** Jeffery Homes  
**Project Name:** Pt. Lot 19, Conc. 19 - Bobcaygeon Development  
**Sample Date:** October 25-27, 2023      **Sampled By:** Josh Riseling - Cambium Inc.  
**Location:** BH 112-23 SS 3      **Depth:** 1.5 m to 2.1 m      **Lab Sample No:** S-23-1850

## UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

CLAY & SILT (<0.075 mm)	SAND (<4.75 mm to 0.075 mm)			GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)	
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE



## MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
CLAY	SILT	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDER
		SAND			GRAVEL			

Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 112-23	SS 3	1.5 m to 2.1 m	34	29	26	11	6.8
Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	C <sub>u</sub>	C <sub>c</sub>
Sandy Silty Gravel some Clay		SM	2.9500	0.0330	0.0019	1552.63	0.19

Additional information available upon request

Issued By:   
 (Senior Project Manager)

Date Issued: November 14, 2023

**Cambium Inc. (Laboratory)**  
 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com  
 194 Sophia St. | Peterborough | ON | K9H 1E5

Form: L6V.2 - Grad.Hydo